

Contemporary Psychology of Religion: Its Relevance to Education, Social Life, and Psychological Well-Being

*Fitrah Muthmainnah¹, Salami Mahmud²

^{1,2}Universitas Islam Negeri Ar-Raniry, Banda Aceh, Indonesia

*Email: 210201103@student.ar-raniry.ac.id

ABSTRACT

The psychology of religion has expanded from a predominantly individual study of religious experience into a multidimensional field concerned with psychological, social, cultural, educational, and spiritual processes. Nevertheless, existing studies frequently examine its educational, mental health, social, and theoretical dimensions separately, resulting in limited conceptual integration across these domains. This article examines the contemporary development of the psychology of religion and synthesizes its relevance to education, social life, and psychological well-being. It employed a qualitative narrative-integrative literature review of recent publications identified through Google Scholar using Publish or Perish, supplemented by seminal works required to explain foundational concepts. The selected literature was analyzed through qualitative content analysis involving thematic coding, comparison of convergent and divergent arguments, and conceptual synthesis. The review identified three interrelated findings. First, contemporary psychology of religion conceptualizes religiosity as a dynamic and multidimensional phenomenon shaped by personal development and sociocultural contexts. Second, in education, religious meaning, moral reflection, social learning, and emotional regulation may contribute to character formation, learning motivation, psychological well-being, and social responsibility. Third, in social life, religiosity may support meaning-making, coping, prosocial behavior, tolerance, solidarity, and community support. These effects are not automatic, however, because rigid, punitive, or exclusionary interpretations may contribute to guilt, spiritual conflict, psychological distress, and social exclusion. The psychology of religion should therefore be applied critically and contextually, with attention to religious orientation, interpretation, pedagogy, social support, and cultural diversity.

Keywords: *Education; Psychological Well-Being; Psychology of Religion; Religiosity; Social Life*

A. INTRODUCTION

Religion plays a significant role in human life by shaping individual identity, moral orientation, and social conduct. Religious teachings commonly provide ethical frameworks related to honesty, compassion, modesty, forgiveness, and responsibility. These values function not only as spiritual guidelines but also as foundations for character formation and interpersonal behavior (Daksanugraha et al., 2025). Religion should therefore be understood not merely as a system of belief, but also as a source of meaning that influences how individuals interpret experience, regulate behavior, and establish

relationships with others. This broader function of religion makes it relevant to psychological inquiry, particularly in relation to religious experience, motivation, emotion, and behavior.

The psychology of religion examines the psychological processes associated with religious belief, practice, experience, and commitment. It investigates how religious convictions, worship, spirituality, and moral values influence cognition, emotion, identity, and everyday conduct (Jannah & Narulita, 2025). Rather than evaluating the theological truth of religious doctrines, the psychology of religion focuses on how religion is experienced and expressed by individuals and groups. It also seeks to explain the development of religiosity, the formation of religious attitudes, and the psychological consequences of religious involvement across different stages of life.

Contemporary developments have expanded the psychology of religion beyond the study of individual belief and religious experience. The field increasingly addresses educational development, mental health, social change, cultural diversity, and the influence of technology on religious life. Religion is therefore examined not only as a personal spiritual experience but also as a multidimensional phenomenon shaped by psychological, social, cultural, educational, and institutional contexts. This expanded perspective is particularly relevant in modern societies, where individuals encounter rapid technological change, shifting social relationships, moral uncertainty, psychological pressure, and increasingly diverse forms of religious expression.

In educational settings, the psychology of religion provides a framework for understanding how religious beliefs and experiences contribute to students' cognitive, emotional, moral, and spiritual development. Religious values may support character formation, self-regulation, learning motivation, moral judgment, and a sense of responsibility (Astuti et al., 2024b). Education is not limited to the transmission of knowledge or the development of intellectual competence; it also involves the formation of ethical awareness, emotional maturity, and socially responsible behavior (Lusiana, 2024). A psychologically informed understanding of religion may therefore assist educators in designing learning environments that address students as whole persons rather than focusing exclusively on academic achievement.

The relevance of the psychology of religion also extends to social life. Religious values may shape attitudes toward cooperation, mutual assistance, social responsibility, tolerance, and respect for others. At the same time, religious behavior is not formed independently of social conditions. Changes in family structures, communication patterns, community relationships, and technological environments may influence how individuals understand and practice religion (Mu'asyara et al., 2024). These transformations require a contextual understanding of religiosity because religious commitments may be interpreted and expressed differently across social groups and historical circumstances. The psychology of religion can therefore contribute to explaining both the personal and social dimensions of religious life.

Previous studies have addressed the psychology of religion from several important but relatively separate perspectives. In education, Astuti et al. (2024) examined the

integration of the psychology of religion into a Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge framework and emphasized its relevance to character formation in technology-mediated learning. This study demonstrates that religious psychology may support the integration of cognitive, emotional, and spiritual development. However, its primary focus is educational technology, and it does not provide a broader synthesis of the conceptual development of the psychology of religion or its implications for social life.

Other studies have concentrated on the relationship between religiosity and mental health. Harahap et al. (2023) highlighted the role of Islamic religious psychology in supporting adolescent mental health and identified emotional development, morality, and environmental conditions as important factors in adolescent religiosity. Taufiqurrahman et al. (2026) similarly emphasized the importance of parental involvement and personality characteristics in adolescent psychological well-being. These studies indicate a close relationship between religious development and psychological adjustment. Nevertheless, their analyses remain centered primarily on individual development and mental health rather than on the wider educational and social implications of contemporary religious psychology.

At the conceptual and methodological level, Paloutzian and Park (2021) emphasized the importance of positioning religion and spirituality as legitimate psychological phenomena that can be studied systematically and connected with other areas of psychological research. Their work contributes to the scientific consolidation of the psychology of religion and spirituality. However, its main orientation is theoretical and disciplinary, while its practical relevance to educational formation and everyday social relations requires further elaboration.

Existing literature therefore demonstrates that the psychology of religion has developed across educational, psychological, and conceptual domains. However, these domains are frequently examined separately. As a result, the literature does not always provide an integrated account of how contemporary developments in the psychology of religion can simultaneously inform education and social life. This limitation is important because contemporary religious experience is shaped by interconnected psychological, social, cultural, and educational processes. An integrative perspective is therefore needed to explain how religion may operate as a psychological resource, an educational influence, and a factor in social interaction.

Accordingly, this article examines the psychology of religion from a contemporary perspective and analyzes its relevance to education and social life. It focuses on three interrelated areas: the contemporary scope and development of the psychology of religion, its contribution to educational processes, and its relevance to social relationships and psychological well-being. Unlike studies that examine education, mental health, or theoretical development independently, this article offers a conceptual synthesis that connects these areas without treating religion solely as either a private spiritual experience or a formal system of belief.

B. METHODS

This study employed a qualitative narrative-integrative literature review to examine the development of the psychology of religion from a contemporary perspective and synthesize its relevance to education and social life. This design was selected because the study sought to compare conceptual arguments, theoretical perspectives, and empirical findings rather than estimate a pooled statistical effect. Recent literature published between 2020 and 2026 constituted the primary analytical corpus, while earlier seminal works were retained when necessary to explain foundational concepts and the historical development of the field.

Literature searches were conducted through Google Scholar using Publish or Perish with the keywords “*psychology of religion*,” “*religious psychology*,” “*religiosity*,” “*spirituality*,” “*religious coping*,” “*psikologi agama*,” “*education*,” “*pendidikan*,” “*social life*,” and “*kehidupan sosial*,” both individually and in relevant combinations. Google Scholar was used as a discovery platform rather than as an indicator of publication quality. Sources were selected purposively according to the following inclusion criteria: peer-reviewed journal articles or academically credible books; direct relevance to the psychology of religion, education, mental health, or social relationships; availability of full text; and sufficient conceptual or empirical information for analysis. Duplicate records, nonacademic publications, sources without identifiable publication information, and studies that did not address the review focus were excluded. Priority was given to primary studies, established theoretical works, and publications with verifiable bibliographic information.

The selected literature was analyzed using qualitative content analysis. The analysis involved repeated reading, identification of key concepts, thematic coding, comparison of convergent and divergent arguments, and synthesis of findings across sources. The codes were organized into three analytical themes corresponding to the study objectives: the contemporary scope and development of the psychology of religion, its relevance to educational processes, and its implications for social relationships and psychological well-being. Particular attention was given to the mechanisms through which religiosity may function as a psychological and social resource, while also recognizing that its effects may vary according to religious orientation, interpretation, and sociocultural context.

C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. The Contemporary Scope and Development of the Psychology of Religion

The psychology of religion examines the psychological dimensions of religious belief, practice, experience, and behavior. Its primary concern is not to determine the theological truth of a particular religion, but to explain how religious commitments influence cognition, emotion, identity, motivation, and conduct. From this perspective, religiosity is understood as a psychological phenomenon that can be observed through individual experiences, attitudes, practices, and patterns of social interaction (Rassool,

2021). It therefore includes not only formal worship and doctrinal belief but also the ways individuals interpret suffering, construct meaning, regulate emotion, and relate to others.

Earlier approaches to the psychology of religion placed considerable emphasis on personal religious experience and the development of faith. William James, for example, highlighted the subjective and experiential dimensions of religion, including feelings of closeness to God, inner peace, conversion, and spiritual awareness (Tembang et al., 2025). Fowler's theory of faith development similarly conceptualized religiosity as a developmental process that changes alongside cognitive maturity, identity formation, and life experience (Lindawati & Martoyo, 2022). These perspectives remain important because they demonstrate that religiosity is not merely inherited or static, but develops through psychological growth and interaction with the social environment.

Classical discussions of the psychology of religion also emphasized the emotional and behavioral consequences of religious belief. Religious practices such as prayer, remembrance, and scriptural reading may generate feelings of peace, relief, repentance, hope, or moral accountability. Beliefs concerning sin, reward, death, and the afterlife may also influence self-control and ethical behavior (Aqidah et al., 2023). However, these earlier approaches often concentrated on intrapersonal experience and gave relatively limited attention to the broader social, cultural, educational, and technological environments in which religious life is formed.

Contemporary psychology of religion has expanded beyond this predominantly individual focus. Religiosity is increasingly understood as a multidimensional and dynamic phenomenon shaped by the interaction of psychological, social, cultural, educational, and spiritual factors. Religious identity and practice may be influenced by family socialization, educational institutions, peer relationships, media environments, cultural expectations, and changing patterns of social interaction. This expanded approach enables the field to examine not only how individuals experience religion, but also how religious meanings are negotiated within particular communities and historical contexts.

One important development in this contemporary perspective is the understanding of religion as a psychological resource. Religious beliefs may provide interpretive frameworks through which individuals understand suffering, uncertainty, loss, and major life transitions. Rothman (2021) argues that human beings should not be viewed solely as biological and psychological entities, but also as spiritual beings whose sense of self may be inseparable from questions of meaning and transcendence. From this perspective, religion can contribute to identity formation, emotional regulation, and psychological balance because it provides narratives that connect personal experience with broader moral and spiritual meanings.

This function is particularly evident in the concept of religious coping. Religious coping refers to the use of religious beliefs, practices, and communities in responding to stress, adversity, and existential uncertainty. Prayer, trust in God, participation in religious communities, and reinterpretation of suffering may help individuals reduce distress and maintain hope. These processes do not simply remove stressors; rather, they may alter how individuals understand and respond to them. In this sense, religion can

function as a meaning-making system that supports psychological adaptation and resilience.

The search for meaning also connects the psychology of religion with logotherapeutic perspectives. Frankl's emphasis on meaning suggests that psychological well-being is closely related to the capacity to interpret suffering and life challenges within a meaningful framework. Religious belief may support this process by providing individuals with a sense of purpose, moral orientation, and continuity beyond immediate circumstances (Sari et al., 2026). Religion therefore becomes relevant not merely as a system of ritual obligations but as a framework through which individuals interpret their existence and maintain psychological endurance.

Nevertheless, contemporary psychology of religion should not assume that all forms of religiosity automatically produce beneficial outcomes. The psychological effects of religion depend on the content of religious interpretation, the form of religious orientation, the availability of social support, and the wider cultural context. Religious beliefs may support resilience and well-being, but they may also be associated with guilt, fear, spiritual conflict, or psychological distress when religious experiences are interpreted in punitive, rigid, or exclusionary ways. This ambivalence is central to a contemporary understanding of religion because it prevents religiosity from being treated as uniformly positive or negative.

Overall, the development of the psychology of religion reflects a shift from a predominantly individual and descriptive study of religious experience toward a broader, multidimensional analysis of religion as a psychological, social, cultural, and spiritual phenomenon. Contemporary psychology of religion therefore understands religiosity as a dynamic process that is continuously shaped by development, experience, social relationships, and institutional contexts. Its significance lies in its capacity to explain how religion may function as a source of meaning, identity, emotional regulation, and resilience while also recognizing that its psychological effects remain dependent on interpretation and context.

2. The Relevance of the Psychology of Religion to Education

The psychology of religion is relevant to education because it helps explain how religious beliefs, values, and experiences influence students' cognitive, emotional, moral, and behavioral development. In educational contexts, religiosity may shape how students understand responsibility, discipline, honesty, empathy, and the meaning of learning. This perspective broadens education beyond the transmission of academic knowledge by emphasizing the formation of character, emotional maturity, and moral judgment (Astuti et al., 2024). It also enables educators to recognize that students' religious experiences may affect motivation, self-regulation, interpersonal behavior, and responses to academic pressure.

One of the principal contributions of the psychology of religion to education lies in moral and character development. Religious values are often internalized through instruction, habituation, observation, and interaction with significant figures such as parents, teachers, and peers. These processes may support the development of

responsibility, honesty, self-control, compassion, and concern for others. However, the educational effect of religious values depends not only on the formal teaching of doctrine but also on how those values are modeled and practiced within the school environment. Religious instruction that remains limited to memorization or compliance may not necessarily produce mature moral behavior. By contrast, reflective learning, consistent role modeling, and opportunities to apply moral values in social situations are more likely to support meaningful internalization.

The psychology of religion is also relevant to learning motivation. Religious beliefs may provide students with a broader sense of purpose by framing learning as a form of personal responsibility, moral development, or service to others. When educational activities are connected with meaningful goals, students may develop stronger intrinsic motivation, persistence, and commitment. In this respect, religion does not function merely as an external source of rules, but as a meaning-making framework that can influence how students interpret effort, achievement, failure, and future aspirations. This relationship should nevertheless be understood cautiously, because religiosity does not automatically improve academic motivation. Its influence depends on whether religious meaning is internalized constructively or imposed through fear, pressure, or excessive external control.

In Islamic education, the relationship between psychological development and religious formation is particularly significant. Islamic education generally seeks to develop intellectual competence together with moral, social, emotional, and spiritual maturity. Darwin et al. (2022) describe human development in Islamic education as involving interconnected biological, psychological, social, and spiritual dimensions. This holistic perspective suggests that educational practice should not separate cognitive achievement from emotional well-being, ethical conduct, and spiritual awareness. The psychology of religion can therefore provide a conceptual basis for understanding how faith, identity, emotion, and behavior interact throughout the educational process.

Religious experience also contributes to students' moral and spiritual development through family, school, and community environments. Astuti et al. (2024a) indicate that religious attitudes are influenced by repeated experiences of worship, guidance, social interaction, and value formation. These experiences may support the development of a stable religious identity when they are accompanied by explanation, reflection, and supportive relationships. Conversely, religious education that relies primarily on punishment, fear, or rigid authority may produce formal compliance without deeper understanding. The psychological quality of religious education is therefore determined not only by the content being taught but also by the pedagogical methods and relational climate through which it is communicated.

Another important contribution concerns students' psychological well-being. Religious beliefs and practices may help students cope with academic stress, uncertainty, family difficulties, or identity-related challenges by providing hope, emotional regulation, and a sense of meaning. Schools may also function as communities of support when religious values are expressed through care, inclusion, and mutual responsibility.

However, the use of religion in educational support should remain sensitive to students' diverse experiences. Religious guidance should not replace professional psychological assistance when students face serious mental health problems, nor should psychological difficulties be interpreted solely as evidence of weak faith.

The psychology of religion also helps clarify the relationship between education and the development of religious understanding. Education may influence whether religion is understood rigidly, reflectively, inclusively, or critically. Rodrigues and Harding (2024) suggest that educational experience may shape how individuals interpret religious beliefs and relate them to social and humanitarian concerns. This indicates that education does not merely transmit religious knowledge; it may also develop the cognitive and moral capacities required to interpret religion in relation to diversity, justice, and contemporary social challenges. Within this framework, religious education should encourage reflection and ethical reasoning rather than only ritual conformity.

The relevance of the psychology of religion to education is therefore not limited to strengthening religious identity. Its broader contribution lies in explaining the psychological mechanisms through which religious meaning, social learning, emotional regulation, and moral reflection may influence students' development. When integrated critically and contextually, the psychology of religion can support educational practices that promote character, motivation, psychological well-being, and social responsibility. Its effectiveness, however, depends on the quality of interpretation, pedagogy, role modeling, and institutional support through which religious values are communicated.

3. The Relevance of the Psychology of Religion to Social Life and Psychological Well-Being

The psychology of religion is relevant to social life because it helps explain how religious beliefs, values, and experiences influence interpersonal behavior, social identity, and psychological adjustment. Religion may shape attitudes toward cooperation, responsibility, honesty, empathy, and mutual assistance, while religious communities may provide social support, shared meaning, and a sense of belonging. These functions indicate that religion operates not only at the level of personal belief but also through social relationships and collective practices (Mu'asyara et al., 2024; Paloutzian & Park, 2021). Its effects, however, depend on how religious teachings are interpreted and enacted within particular cultural and social contexts.

At the individual level, religion may contribute to psychological well-being by providing meaning, hope, and a framework for interpreting adversity. Religious beliefs can help individuals understand experiences such as loss, failure, uncertainty, and family instability within a broader moral or spiritual narrative. This meaning-making process may reduce feelings of existential emptiness and strengthen psychological endurance. Religious practices such as prayer, meditation, scriptural reflection, and participation in communal worship may also support emotional regulation and provide a sense of stability during stressful periods (Asna & Fitria, 2025). Nevertheless, these practices should be understood as potential psychological resources rather than universal solutions to mental health problems.

Religious coping is particularly important in this context. Individuals may use prayer, trust in God, religious reflection, or support from faith communities to manage stress and uncertainty. Such strategies may help reinterpret distressing events, preserve hope, and strengthen resilience. Asna and Fitria (2025) suggest that engagement in religious practices may be associated with lower levels of stress and more positive emotional states. However, the effectiveness of religious coping depends on its form. Supportive interpretations may promote acceptance and adaptive action, whereas punitive interpretations may intensify guilt, fear, helplessness, or spiritual conflict.

At the interpersonal level, religious values may support prosocial behavior by encouraging compassion, responsibility, forgiveness, and concern for others. Internalized religious commitments can influence how individuals respond to the needs of family members, neighbors, teachers, colleagues, and vulnerable groups. Astuti et al. (2024b) connect religious understanding with moral qualities such as honesty and personal responsibility, while Rahman and Shalihah (2023) emphasize respect, helpfulness, and appropriate conduct in social relationships. These outcomes are more likely to emerge when religious values are practiced consistently and supported by concrete social examples rather than communicated only as abstract moral prescriptions.

The psychology of religion also contributes to understanding tolerance and interaction across social differences. Religious maturity may encourage individuals to recognize the dignity of others, regulate prejudice, and engage constructively with people who hold different beliefs or social identities. Fatmawati et al. (2025) associate the internalization of religious values with openness, empathy, and positive interpersonal relations. However, religiosity does not automatically produce tolerance. Religious identity may also reinforce in-group boundaries when teachings are interpreted rigidly or when social environments frame other groups as threats. The social effects of religion therefore depend on interpretive orientation, institutional discourse, and opportunities for meaningful interaction across differences.

At the group and community levels, religion may strengthen solidarity through shared rituals, moral commitments, charitable practices, and collective responses to hardship. Religious communities can provide emotional support, practical assistance, and social networks during illness, bereavement, economic difficulty, or crisis. These forms of support may improve both psychological well-being and social cohesion. At the same time, strong internal solidarity should not be treated as equivalent to inclusive social relations. A community may be highly cohesive internally while remaining distant from or suspicious of outsiders. Contemporary analysis must therefore distinguish between bonding solidarity within religious groups and broader forms of social cooperation across group boundaries.

Religion may also influence how communities manage conflict and transmit social norms. Shared teachings concerning justice, forgiveness, mutual responsibility, and reconciliation can provide moral resources for conflict resolution. Religious institutions may contribute to social stability by encouraging dialogue, mediation, and communal care. Conversely, religious narratives may intensify conflict when they are used to

legitimize exclusion, moral superiority, or hostility. This dual possibility demonstrates why the psychology of religion must examine not only religious commitment itself but also the emotional, cognitive, and social processes through which commitment is translated into behavior.

The relationship between religiosity and psychological well-being is therefore neither simple nor uniformly positive. Religion may support resilience, emotional regulation, prosocial conduct, and social belonging, but it may also become associated with guilt, fear, exclusion, or conflict under certain conditions. The decisive factors include the content of religious interpretation, the quality of social support, the flexibility of religious identity, and the wider cultural environment. Contemporary psychology of religion is valuable precisely because it enables these effects to be analyzed as conditional and context-dependent rather than assumed in advance.

Overall, the relevance of the psychology of religion to social life and psychological well-being can be understood at three interconnected levels. At the individual level, religion may support meaning-making, coping, and resilience. At the interpersonal level, it may influence empathy, helping behavior, trust, and moral responsibility. At the community level, it may strengthen solidarity, social support, and conflict management. These contributions become constructive when religious beliefs are interpreted in ways that support dignity, openness, and responsible social action.

D. CONCLUSION

Contemporary psychology of religion has developed beyond the study of individual religious experience into a multidimensional field concerned with the interaction of psychological, social, cultural, educational, and spiritual processes. This review shows that religiosity may function as a source of meaning, identity, emotional regulation, coping, and resilience. In education, the psychology of religion helps explain how religious meaning, moral reflection, social learning, and emotional development may contribute to character formation, learning motivation, psychological well-being, and social responsibility. In social life, religion may support empathy, prosocial behavior, social support, tolerance, solidarity, and constructive responses to adversity.

These effects, however, are not automatic or uniformly positive. Their direction depends on religious orientation, patterns of interpretation, pedagogical practice, the quality of social support, and the wider sociocultural context. Religious commitments may promote psychological well-being and inclusive social relations when they are expressed through reflective, supportive, and ethically responsible practices, but rigid or punitive interpretations may contribute to guilt, exclusion, spiritual conflict, or psychological distress. Future research should therefore examine these conditional mechanisms through more systematic reviews and context-sensitive empirical studies, particularly in educational and culturally diverse settings.

REFERENCES

- Aqidah, Z., Alfiah, S., & Hasanuddin. (2023). Pengertian dan ruang lingkup psikologi agama. *Nathiqiyah: Jurnal Psikologi Islam*, 6(2), 15–23. <https://doi.org/10.46781/nathiqiyah.v6i2.663>
- Asna, A., & Fitria, D. (2025). Psikologi agama dan kesehatan mental. *Inovasi Pendidikan Nusantara*, 6(1). <https://ejournals.com/ojs/index.php/ipn/article/view/621>
- Astuti, R. F., Munte, R. N. B., Mawarni, W. T., Nazlia, R., Mahfi, I. A., & Azzacky, F. (2024). Peran psikologi agama dalam pengembangan spiritual peserta didik. *Hibrul Ulama: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan dan Keislaman*, 6(2), 191–199. <https://doi.org/10.47662/hibrululama.v6i2.829>
- Astuti, R. F., Riantika, P. A., Khairani, L., Aminah, F., Dalimunthe, S. R., & Harahap, I. H. (2024). Urgensi psikologi agama terhadap pendidikan agama Islam. *Nathiqiyah: Jurnal Psikologi Islam*, 7(2), 193–204. <https://doi.org/10.46781/nathiqiyah.v7i2.1291>
- Astuti, R. F., Yuliyani, Y., Valiza, D., Chaniago, F. Z., & Pratama, R. (2024). Psikologi agama sebagai strategi pendidikan agama Islam di era Revolusi Industri 5.0. *Consilium: Education and Counseling Journal*, 5(1), 291–312. <https://doi.org/10.36841/consilium.v5i1.5563>
- Daksanugraha, S., Eryanto, M. R., Gymnastiar, I. H., Kusuma, S. C., & Rafiansyah, M. R. D. (2025). Fungsi agama dalam kehidupan umat manusia. *Jurnal Studi Islam dan Hukum Syariah*, 3(1), 349–355. <https://ojsid.my.id/index.php/JURSIH/article/view/194>
- Darwin, Salami, Mahdhar, M., & Nazarullah, M. (2022). Peran psikologi dalam pendidikan Islam. *Jurnal Ilmiah Guru Madrasah*, 1(1), 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.69548/jigm.v1i1.5>
- Fatmawati, C., Pratama, H., & Hariry, S. (2025). Peran nilai-nilai religius dalam pembentukan perilaku sosial: Tinjauan psikologi agama terhadap interaksi antarpribadi. *Jurnal Penelitian Ilmiah Multidisipliner*, 1(3), 816–825. <https://ojs.ruangpublikasi.com/index.php/jpim/article/view/667>
- Harahap, A. P., Sinaga, M. S., Handayani, R., & Tumanggor, N. (2023). Peran psikologi agama Islam terhadap kesehatan mental anak remaja. *Al-Isyraq: Jurnal Bimbingan, Penyuluhan, dan Konseling Islam*, 6(2), 213–228. <https://jurnal.pabki.org/index.php/alisyraq/article/view/324>
- Jannah, M., & Narulita, S. (2025). *Psikologi agama*. Penerbit NEM.
- Lindawati, L., & Martoyo, I. (2022). Comparison of 4 Basic Dimension of Religiousness Scale (4BDRS) and Fowler's Stages of Faith for psychology of religion research in Indonesia: Differences, recent updates, and implications. *Indonesian Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 1(2), 79–96. <https://doi.org/10.24854/ijpr585>
- Lusiana. (2024). Tujuan pendidikan di Indonesia (Sisdiknas): Analisis dalam perspektif psikologi pendidikan. *Darussalam: Jurnal Ilmiah Islam dan Sosial*, 25(2). <https://doi.org/10.58791/drs.v25i02.144>
- Mu'asyara, N., Herawati, A., Prayoga, H., Putri, P., Sopyan, M., Pangestika, A. P.,

- Muldiyansyah, M., Aziz, A., & Adil, R. (2024). Agama dan perubahan sosial. *Aliansi: Jurnal Hukum, Pendidikan dan Sosial Humaniora*, 2(1), 238–248. <https://doi.org/10.62383/aliansi.v2i1.746>
- Paloutzian, R. F., & Park, C. L. (2021). The psychology of religion and spirituality: How big the tent? *Psychology of Religion and Spirituality*, 13(1), 3–13. <https://doi.org/10.1037/rel0000218>
- Rahman, N. U., & Shalihah, A. (2023). Kebiasaan edukatif Islami yang dapat membentuk perilaku sosial anak dalam kehidupan bermasyarakat. *Al-Madrasah: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Madrasah Ibtidaiyah*, 7(4), 1846–1856. <https://doi.org/10.35931/am.v7i4.2733>
- Rassool, G. H. (2021). *Islamic psychology: Human behaviour and experience from an Islamic perspective*. Routledge.
- Rodrigues, H. P., & Harding, J. S. (2024). *Introduction to the study of religion* (2nd ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780367809010>
- Rothman, A. (2021). *Developing a model of Islamic psychology and psychotherapy: Islamic theology and contemporary understandings of psychology*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003104377>
- Sari, Y., Jannah, M., & Mahmud, S. (2026). Integrasi ibadah dan kesehatan mental dalam perspektif psikologi agama Islam. *Journal of Innovative and Creativity*, 6(1), 6259–6265. <https://joecy.org/index.php/joecy/article/view/5363>
- Taufiqurrahman, A., Mahmud, S., Fadli, F., & Pranajaya, S. A. (2026). Parental involvement in safeguarding adolescent mental health: The importance of personality awareness. *Gender Equality: International Journal of Child and Gender Studies*, 12(1), 18–32. <https://doi.org/10.22373/equality.v12i1.32144>
- Tembang, S., Prihatini, H. W., Sahrudin, H., Sugiarti, L. R., & Suhariadi, F. (2025). Relevansi filsafat pragmatisme William James dalam membangun sikap moderat di tengah masyarakat multireligius. *Jurnal Filsafat Indonesia*, 8(3), 477–488. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jfi.v8i3.101160>